

### Ecobuildings in the new Member States: Innovative Decision Support Web-based System for Building Refurbishment

A. Kaklauskas, S. Raslanas, A. Mickaityte, VGTU, Lithuania



Common Symposium of EU FP6 Eco-buildings Projects, Berlin, 22/23 November 2005 <Name of Presentation>

<Name of Author> <Organisation/Company> <Logo>



#### The Main Building of Vilnius Gediminas Technical University (VGTU)

Building owner: Vilnius Gediminas Technical University Location/address: Sauletekio av. 11, Vilnius Building type and size:

The main building was built in 1971. The total floor area 8484,20 sq.m. It includes several departments and lecture halls seating from 50 to 100 students. Number of storeys –

7.

### **The Main Building of Vilnius Gediminas Technical University (VGTU)**











New technologies are rarely applied because of a lack of knowledge at the decision makers. Yet those of them, having reliable information on innovative technologies tend to realize these technologies more often. Therefore it is important to provide them with profound knowledge including advantages and disadvantages, priority, utility degree and market value of analyzed retrofit technologies.

### Main Tasks

- Development and Implementation of Innovative Decision Support Web-based System for Building Refurbishment (DSS-BR) which makes it easy to compare various retrofitting measures and scenarios according to different systems of criteria.
- Assist designers and evaluation teams to calculate the integral efficiency of the whole building.
- Improve the life cycle cost and quality of building.
- Develop DSS-BR that is immediately replicable at large scale in many locations.

www.brita-in-pubs.com



The DSS-BR tasks aims to optimize the building retrofit process by analyzing the sectional and overall performance of a building considering all the major subsystems and in particular:

- The outdoor environment defining the microclimate
- The building envelope
- The indoor environment
- The HVAC system as well as the lighting
- The facilities management system

### **Refurbishment decisions influenced on many:**

 microlevel factors: deterioration and obsolescence of building, indoor environmental quality, technological, technical, the lower fuel bills for householders, health benefits (reduced cold and damp related illness);

Bringing Retrofit Innovation to Application in Public Buildings

 macrolevel factors: environmental (a less polluted environment, the saving of limited nature resources), social (increasing social equity, improving human health) and economic (increasing employment, the creation of wealth) benefits.

### Decision making models and methods

Bringing Retrofit Innovation to Application in Public Buildings

- A thorough building refurbishment evaluation is quite difficult to undertake, because a building and its environment are complex system (technical, technological, ecological, social, comfort, esthetical, etc.), where all sub-systems influences to the total efficiency performance, and where the interdependence between sub-systems are playing a significance role.
- Many decision making models and methods (cost-benefit analysis, multiple criteria analysis, the lattice method for optimisation, predicted building habitability index, an energy rating systems for existing houses, multiple criteria analysis, etc.) have been developed in the world for solving above and other problems.

www.brita-in-pubs.com

### MULTIPLE CRITERIA DECISION SUPPORT WEB-BASED SYSTEM FOR BUILDING REFURBISHMENT

Bringing Retrofit Innovation to Application in Public Buildings

Based on the analysis of the existing neural networks, information, expert and decision support systems and in order to determine most efficient versions of building refurbishment a MULTIPLE CRITERIA DECISION SUPPORT WEB-BASED SYSTEM FOR BUILDING REFURBISHMENT (DSS-BR) was developed. This System consisting of a database, database management system, model-base, model-base management system and user interface.

# Signification in Public Buildings

### Database

The presentation of information needed for decisionmaking in the DSS-BR may be in a conceptual form (i.e. digital/numerical, textual, graphical, diagrams, graphs and drawing, etc), photographic, sound, video and quantitative forms.

<Name of Author>

<Organisation/Company> <Logo>

## Circle Internation in Public Buildings

#### **The** following tables form the DSS-BR's database:

- Initial data tables. These contain general facts about the building considered and the information of its deterioration and obsolescence. The reasons for refurbishing and their significance as well as the money to be spent on it are also included.
- Tables assessing refurbishment solutions (data base of best practice). These contain quantitative and conceptual information about alternative building refurbishment solutions relating to a building's enclosures, utilities and space planning, etc.
- Tables of multi-variant design. These provide quantitative and conceptual information on the interconnection of the elements to be renovated, their compatibility and the possible combinations as well as data on the complex multi-variant design of a building refurbishment.



### **Database of Best Practice**

- Walls,
- Windows,
- Roof,
- Thermal Units,
- Etc.

www.brita-in-pubs.com

<Name of Author> <Organisation/Company> <Logo>



#### Walls - Database of Best Practice

Ę	Re	trofit of educational l	ouildings - Micr	rose	oft Intern	et Explorer					
3	File	Edit View Favorites	Tools Help								-
3	0	Back 🔹 🕥 🕤 🔀	2 🏠 🔎	Sea	arch 🤺	Favorites 🧑	ی ایک	w · 🗾	<b>8</b> 🕉		
A	Addres	ss 🕘 http://dss.vtu.lt/ren	ovacija/index_educ	catio	onal.asp					🖌 🄁 Go Li	inks »
¢	🎘 icc	a	💌 🛃 Search	•	🖉 Now On	ICQ - 👼	lock PopUps (0 bl	ocked) 🛟 🤤	1 0		
		Decisi	on Support Sy	ste	em for Bu	5	irdishment a	nd Facilities M	anageme		
		General Photos formation & Plane	Methodolo		descri ti Reno	he vation i	Iultiple crite analysis of refurbishme ription of the	nt facil	criteria sis of ities	Multivariant design and multiple criter analysis of	
		formation & Pland	Methodolo	rt a	descri ti Reno	ption of M he vation tative descr	analysis of	nt facil variants Ltd	sis of ities Ltd	design and multiple criter	ria
	in	formation & Plane Criteria under	Methodolo Exper Measuring units of	rt a	descri ti Reno and qualit Weights of	ption of M ne vation tative descr Ltd	analysis of refurbishme iption of the Ltd "Atributas"	nt facil variants Ltd	sis of ities Ltd "Kreisel	design and multiple criter analysis of Ltd "Nausasis Fasadas" (New	ria
	in	formation & Plane Criteria under evaluation	Methodolo Exper Measuring units of criteria	rt a	descri ti Reno and qualit Weights of criteria	ption of M ne vation tative descr Ltd "Alkesta"	analysis of refurbishme ription of the Ltd "Atributas" 383150	nt facil variants Ltd "Imparatas"	sis of ities Ltd "Kreisel Vilnius"	design and multiple criter analysis of Ltd "Nausasis Fasadas" (New Facade)	ria

etrofit of educational buildings - Microsoft Internet Explorer	E	
Edit View Favorites Tools Help		
Back • 🕥 - 🖹 🗟 🏠 🔎 Search 🧙 Favorites 🧭 🔗 - 嫨 🖬 • 🗾 🐼 🖄		
ess 🙆 http://dss.vtu.lt/renovacija/index_educational.asp	🖌 🄁 Co	Links <b>*</b>
🔽 🚽 🔄 Search 👻 🖉 Now On ICQ 👻 🛜 Block PopUps (0 blocked) 🔅 💠 🖉 🎯		

Vilnius Gediminas Technical University

Department of Construction Economics and Property Management

Department of Construction Technology and Management

#### **Decision Support System for Building Refurbishment and Facilities Management**



## Image: Second Second

### Windows - Database of Best Practice

	File	Edit View Favorites Tools Back • 🕑 • 💌 🛃 ( ss 🛃 http://dss.vtu.lt/renovacija	Help Help Search Search Favorites Andex_educational.asp Search Mow On ICQ - Vilnius Gedimina Department of Construction Eco Department of Construction Department of Construction Department of Construction Department of Construction Department of Construction Department of Construction Search System for Building F	s Te	Block PopUps (0 blocked)	) < <del>\$</del> > < <del>\$</del> > ∡		✓ → G	io Link	s »
	in	General Photos Me formation & Plans Me sults of Multiple Criteria	ethodology Renovation		Multiple criteria analysis of refurbishment cription of the vari	Multiple c analysis faciliti	s of	Multiva desigr multiple analys	n and criteria	^
	Nr.	Criteria under evaluation	Measuring units of criteria	*	Weights of criteria		Vėsa	Veskonas	Vilpra	
	1	Price	Lt	-	0,6	15750	16820	14550	17240	
	2	Guarantee period	years	+	0,08	3	3	5	5	
	l land	D 1.95	vears	+	0,09	30	25	25	30	
Common Sympo	3	Durability	Jears			100			50	



#### **Thermal unit - Database of Best Practice**

🗿 Re	etrofit of educational buildings	- Microsoft Internet Explorer						
File	Edit View Favorites Tools He	łp						<b></b>
G	Back 🔹 🕥 🕤 💌 🛃 🏠	🔎 Search 🔶 Favorites 🎸	3	🔗 - 🎍 👿 ·	· 📃 😽	8		
Addre	ss 🕘 http://dss.vtu.lt/renovacija/inde	x_educational.asp					<ul> <li>&gt;</li> </ul>	Go Links
🏶 i c	q 🖣 🔽 🚽 🔤 s	earch 👻 🖉 Now On ICQ 👻 厉	Bloc	ck PopUps (0 blocked)		0		
	Decision Suppo	Department of Construction Econor Department of Construction Te ort System for Building Ref	chno	logy and Management	ities Maı	ageme	ent	
		Short		0.0	ultiple cri	iteria		ariant
1000	General Photos Metho	description of 1 the odology Repovation		analysis of	analysis facilitie	of	multiple	n and criteria
ir	Math	odology Renovation		ittiple criteria	analysis	of	multiple	
ir	nformation & Plans Metho sults of Multiple Criteria Ev	odology Renovation	re	analysis of furbishment	analysis facilitie	of	multiple	criteria
ir	nformation & Plans Metho sults of Multiple Criteria Ev	odology Renovation aluation	re	analysis of furbishment	analysis facilitie	of	multiple	criteria
Re	nformation & Plans Metho sults of Multiple Criteria Ev	the Renovation aluation Expert and qualitative desc Measuring units of	re	analysis of furbishment otion of the variant Weights of	analysis facilitie	of s Alsa	multiple analy	criteria
ir <u>Re</u> Nr.	sults of Multiple Criteria Ev	the Renovation aluation Expert and qualitative desc Measuring units of criteria	re rrip *	otion of the variant Weights of criteria	analysis facilitie s Alrasta	of s Alsa	Altitudė	Criteria Sis of Doleta

ubs.com



### The following models form the DSS-BR's model base:

- Search for alternatives (walls, windows, heating systems, roof, FM, etc.).
- Finding out or developing alternatives and making initial negotiation table.
- Multiple criteria analysis of alternatives.
- Development of suggestions as to what interested parties to use and for what reasons further negotiation should be carried out.
- Negotiations based on real calculations.
- Determination of the most rational variant (walls, windows, FM, roof, etc.).
- Provision of recommendations for future actions to interested parties.
- **Computer**-aided formation of versions of whole building refurbishment.
- Multiple criteria analysis of alternatives.
- Negotiations based on real calculations.
- Selection of the most efficient alternatives of the whole building refurbishment.



#### Search results for specific heating system can be submitted in textual, photo/video, graphical, etc. information

Edit View Favorite	s Tools Help						a web	-based Decision Supp	ort System for R	eal Estate - Microsof	t Internet Explorer						
				a				dit View Favorites	Tools Help								
Back + 🕥 - 📩		~~	🥴 🍰 📓 🖸	• 🔜 🦚			Bac	ck 🕶 💮 🚽 🔀	z) 🏠 🔎 s	earch 👷 Favorites	🚱 🍰 🗟	- 🔜 🚜					
ess 💩 http://dss.vtu.lt/			11 - and 11			💌 🔁 Go		http://dss.vtu.lt/rei	novaciia/index edu	icational.asp							
ogle -	<u> </u>	Search 🔹 🚿 👰 65	blocked 😽 Check 👻 🔍	🗙 AutoLink 👻 🔚 AutoFill 🛛 🔁	Options 🖉	2	Googl				blocked   🍄 Check 👻 🔍	AutoLink + C AutoFil	🜏 Options	s 🖉			
	I	Decision Support S	Vilnius Gediminus T Department of Construction Econo Department of Construction T ystem for Building Re	amics and Property Management.	ies Management				D	ecision Support S	Vilnius Gediminus To Department of Construction Econo Department of Construction To ystem for Building Ref	mics and Property Management. echnology and Management.	ilities Ma	anagement			
ser General information	Photos & Plans	3. Methodology	Short description of the Renovation and its purpose	Multiple criteria analysis of refurbishment building elements	Multiple criteria analysis of facilities management alternatives	Multivariant design and multiple criteria analysis of refurbishment building	User	. General information	Photos & Plans	Methodology	Short description of the Renovation and its purpose	Multiple criteria analysis of refurbishment building elements	ana	lultiple criteri Ilysis of facilit management alternatives	ies and	ltivariant multiple analysis bishment	criteria of
novation of th	ormal unit					-			itaria Evaluat								
iring renovation of e sensor in each a	the thermal ur at least. It woul	nit in different facad Id be very valuable	to install such sensor	rs in most problematica	nent sensors installed ir al facilities in respect of			ilts of Multiple Cr record	iteria Evaluat	1011							
ring renovation of e sensor in each a	the thermal ur at least. It woul	nit in different facad Id be very valuable	to install such sensor		al facilities in respect of			•			g units of criteria	* Weights of crit	eria	Veskonas	Manfula	Vilpra	Vėsa
ing renovation of e sensor in each a	the thermal ur at least. It woul	nit in different facad Id be very valuable	to install such sensor	rs in most problematica	al facilities in respect of		New No.	record			g units of criteria	* Weights of crit	eria 0.6	Veskonas 14550	Manfula 15750	-	
ing renovation of sensor in each a	the thermal ur at least. It woul	nit in different facad Id be very valuable	to install such sensor	rs in most problematica	al facilities in respect of		New No.	record Criteria unde		Measurin		<ul> <li>Weights of crit</li> <li>+</li> </ul>				17240	
ing renovation of sensor in each a	the thermal ur at least. It woul	nit in different facad Id be very valuable	to install such sensor	rs in most problematica	al facilities in respect of		New No.	record Criteria unde Price		Measurin Lt		-	0.6	14550	15750	17240 5	168
ng renovation of sensor in each a	the thermal ur at least. It woul	nit in different facad Id be very valuable	to install such sensor	rs in most problematica	al facilities in respect of		New No. 1	record Criteria unde Price Guarantee period		Measurin Lt years		+	0.6 0.08	14550 5	15750 3	17240 5 30	168
ng renovation of sensor in each a	the thermal ur at least. It woul	nit in different facad Id be very valuable	to install such sensor	rs in most problematica	al facilities in respect of		New No. 1	record Criteria unde Price Guarantee period Durability	er evaluation	Measurin Lt years years		+	0.6 0.08 0.09	14550 5 25	15750 3 30	17240 5 30 3.2	168
ing renovation of e sensor in each a	the thermal ur at least. It woul	nit in different facad Id be very valuable	to install such sensor	rs in most problematica	al facilities in respect of		New No. 1	record Criteria unde Price Guarantee period Durability Pay-back period	er evaluation	Measurin Lt years years years		+	0.6 0.08 0.09 0.1	14550 5 25 2.7	15750 3 30 2.9	17240 5 30 3.2	168
ring renovation of e sensor in each a	the thermal ur at least. It woul	nit in different facad Id be very valuable	to install such sensor	rs in most problematica	al facilities in respect of		New No. 1	record Criteria unde Price Guarantee period Durability Pay-back period Duration of works Reliability	er evaluation	Measurin Lt years years years days			0.6 0.08 0.09 0.1 0.05	14550 5 25 2.7	15750 3 30 2.9	17240 5 30 3.2	168
ing renovation of e sensor in each a	the thermal ur at least. It woul	nit in different facad Id be very valuable	to install such sensor	rs in most problematica	al facilities in respect of		New No. 1 2 3 4 5 6	record Criteria unde Price Guarantee period Durability Pay-back period Duration of works Reliability	er evaluation	Measurin Lt years years years days			0.6 0.08 0.09 0.1 0.05	14550 5 25 2.7	15750 3 30 2.9 12 1	17240 5 30 3.2	168:

#### www.brita-in-pubs.com

Common Symposium of EU FP6 Eco-buildings Projects, Berlin, 22/23 November 2005 <Name of Presentation> <Name of Author> <Organisation/Company> <Logo>



## Results of multiple criteria evaluation of the heating system's alternatives

<u>.</u>			- A-			n 🗖 30				
G Back	- 🕞 - 🗾 🛃 🎸		Search 🏑 Favorites	🕙 🛜 🍦		3 - 📙 🔏				
	http://dss.vtu.lt/renovacija/									<u> </u>
Google	· •	▼ G S	earch 👻 🚿 🖓 65 l	blocked 🛛 🍄 Cheo	ck 🔻	🌂 AutoLink 👻 🗐 AutoFill 🚦	🍫 Options 🛛 🖉			
		D		Department of Constru Department of Con	iction E istructio	as Technical University konomics and Property Management m Technology and Management <b>Refurbishment and Faci</b> l	lities Manage	ement		
User		iotos & Plans	Methodology	Short descri of the Renovation its purpo	n and	analysis of	analysis mana	e criteria of facilities gement natives	and multip	sis of
	•									
No.	Criteria under evalu	lation	Measuring uni	ts of criteria	*	Weights of criteria	Veskonas	Manfula	Vilpra	Vėsa
1	Price	lation	Lt	ts of criteria	-	0,6000	0,1356	0,1468	0,1607	0,156
	Price Guarantee period	lation	Lt years	ts of criteria	- +	0,6000 0,0800	0,1356 0,0250	0,1468 0,0150	0,1607 0,0250	0,156 0,015
1 I 2 ( 3 I	Price Guarantee period Durability	lation	Lt years years	ts of criteria	* - +	0,6000 0,0800 0,0900	0,1356 0,0250 0,0205	0,1468 0,0150 0,0245	0,1607 0,0250 0,0245	0,156 0,015 0,020
1 I 2 ( 3 I 4 J	Price Guarantee period Durability Pay-back period	lation	Lt years years years	ts of criteria		0,6000 0,0800 0,0900 0,1000	0,1356 0,0250 0,0205 0,0227	0,1468 0,0150 0,0245 0,0244	0,1607 0,0250 0,0245 0,0269	0,156 0,015 0,020 0,026
1 J 2 ( 3 J 4 J 5 J	Price Guarantee period Durability Pay-back period Duration of works	lation	Lt years years years days	ts of criteria	+	0,6000 0,0800 0,0900 0,1000 0,0500	0,1356 0,0250 0,0205 0,0227 0,0102	0,1468 0,0150 0,0245 0,0244 0,0122	0,1607 0,0250 0,0245 0,0269 0,0153	0,156 0,015 0,020 0,026 0,012
1 I 2 ( 3 I 4 J 5 I 6 I	Price Guarantee period Durability Pay-back period Duration of works Reliability		Lt years years years days Category	ts of criteria		0,6000 0,0800 0,0900 0,1000	0,1356 0,0250 0,0205 0,0227 0,0102 0,0200	0,1468 0,0150 0,0245 0,0244 0,0122 0,0200	0,1607 0,0250 0,0245 0,0269 0,0153 0,0200	0,156 0,015 0,020 0,026 0,012 0,020
1 1 2 0 3 1 4 1 5 1 6 1 Total s	Price Guarantee period Durability Pay-back period Duration of works Reliability sum of maximizing normal	lized bala	Lt years years days Category anced rates S <sub>+j</sub>	ts of criteria	+	0,6000 0,0800 0,0900 0,1000 0,0500	0,1356 0,0250 0,0205 0,0227 0,0102 0,0200 0.0655	0,1468 0,0150 0,0245 0,0244 0,0122 0,0200 0.0595	0,1607 0,0250 0,0245 0,0269 0,0153 0,0200 0.0695	0,156 0,015 0,020 0,026 0,012 0,020 0.055
1 1 2 ( 3 1 4 1 5 1 6 1 Total s Total s	Price Guarantee period Durability Pay-back period Duration of works Reliability sum of maximizing normali	lized bala	Lt years years days Category anced rates S <sub>+j</sub>	ts of criteria	+	0,6000 0,0800 0,0900 0,1000 0,0500	0,1356 0,0250 0,0205 0,0227 0,0102 0,0200 0,0655 0.1685	0,1468 0,0150 0,0245 0,0244 0,0122 0,0200 0.0595 0.1834	0,1607 0,0250 0,0245 0,0269 0,0153 0,0200 0.0695 0.2029	0,156 0,015 0,020 0,026 0,012 0,020 0,020 0.055 0.195
1 1 2 0 3 1 4 1 5 1 6 1 Total s Objec	Price Guarantee period Durability Pay-back period Duration of works Reliability sum of maximizing normali sum of minimizing normali t's significance Q <sub>j</sub>	lized bala	Lt years years days Category anced rates S <sub>+j</sub>	ts of criteria	+	0,6000 0,0800 0,0900 0,1000 0,0500	0,1356 0,0250 0,0205 0,0227 0,0102 0,0200 0.0655	0,1468 0,0150 0,0245 0,0244 0,0122 0,0200 0.0595	0,1607 0,0250 0,0245 0,0269 0,0153 0,0200 0.0695	0,156 0,015 0,020 0,026 0,012 0,020 0.055 0.195
1 1 2 0 3 1 4 1 5 1 6 1 Total s Objec	Price Guarantee period Durability Pay-back period Duration of works Reliability sum of maximizing normali	lized bala	Lt years years days Category anced rates S <sub>+j</sub>	ts of criteria	+	0,6000 0,0800 0,0900 0,1000 0,0500	0,1356 0,0250 0,0205 0,0227 0,0102 0,0200 0,0655 0.1685	0,1468 0,0150 0,0245 0,0244 0,0122 0,0200 0.0595 0.1834	0,1607 0,0250 0,0245 0,0269 0,0153 0,0200 0.0695 0.2029	0,156 0,015 0,020 0,026 0,012 0,020 0.055 0.195 0.234
1 I 2 ( 3 I 4 I 5 I 6 I Total s Objec	Price Guarantee period Durability Pay-back period Duration of works Reliability sum of maximizing normali sum of minimizing normali t's significance Q <sub>j</sub>	lized bala	Lt years years days Category anced rates S <sub>+j</sub>	ts of criteria	+	0,6000 0,0800 0,0900 0,1000 0,0500	0,1356 0,0250 0,0227 0,0102 0,0200 0.0655 0.1685 0.2731	0,1468 0,0150 0,0245 0,0244 0,0122 0,0200 0.0595 0.1834 0.2502	0,1607 0,0250 0,0245 0,0269 0,0153 0,0200 0.0695 0.2029 0.2419	0,156 0,015 0,020 0,026 0,012 0,020 0,020 0,055 0,195 0,234 869
1 I 2 ( 3 I 4 I 5 I 6 I Total s Objec	Price Guarantee period Durability Pay-back period Duration of works Reliability sum of maximizing normali sum of minimizing normali t's significance Q <sub>j</sub> t's utility degree N <sub>j</sub> t's priority	lized bala	Lt years years days Category anced rates S <sub>+j</sub>	ts of criteria	+	0,6000 0,0800 0,0900 0,1000 0,0500	0,1356 0,0250 0,0227 0,0102 0,0200 0.0655 0.1685 0.2731	0,1468 0,0150 0,0245 0,0244 0,0122 0,0200 0.0595 0.1834 0.2502 92%	0,1607 0,0250 0,0245 0,0269 0,0153 0,0200 0.0695 0.2029 0.2419 89%	Vésa 0,156 0,015 0,020 0,026 0,012 0,020 0,055 0,195 0,234 86%

Common Symposium of E <Name of Presentation>



#### Calculation of the market value in numerical and graphic form

	Web-based Decision Su	upport System for Real Estate - Micros	
	File Edit View Favorit	es Tools Help	
	🚱 Back 👻 🕥 👻 💌	👔 🏠 🔎 Search 🤺 Favorite	ites 🚱 🔗 - 🍃 🕲 - 📙 🔏
	Address 🙋 http://dss.vtu.lt	t/renovacija/index_educational.asp	🗾 🄁 Go
	Google -	💽 🖸 Search 👻 🚿 👰	🕺 65 blocked 🛛 🥙 Check 👻 AutoLink 👻 🗐 AutoFill 🚾 Options 🖉 👘 🦓 🗣
		Decision Support	Vilnius Gediminas Technical University Department of Construction Economics and Property Management Department of Construction Technology and Management rt System for Building Refurbishment and Facilities Management
	User Proposals	General Photos & Met information Plans Met	Short Multiple criteria Multiple criteria description of analysis of analysis of facilities the Renovation refurbishment management and its purpose building elements alternatives building
	Approximation cycle	The corrected value of a real estate	It is determined whether the corrected value of a real estate being valuated had been calculated accurately enough
	1	16.820,00	0   -7,67   > 1%
	2	15.530,41	1  -3,67   > 1%
	3	14.960,91	1  -1,67   > 1%
	4	14.711,51	1 -0,67   < 1%
	5	14.613,38	8   0,33   < 1%
	Price adjustment graph The corrected value of a refurbishment		
nmon Symp	variant 12	3 4 5	
me of Pres		roximation	
	14001		



#### Example - The initial data for multiple criteria analysis of thermal renovation of walls

	The criteria considered	*	Measu-ring units	Signifi-cance	Ν	Jumerical values of cr	iteria of the compar	ed 1 sqm	wall versions	
					1	2	3		10	11
1	Cost	-1	Lt/sqm	0.3550	160	175	360		158	101
2	Annual fuel economy	1	Lt/sqm	0.1630	5.75	5.97	5.97		5.54	5.87
3	Tentative pay-back time	-1	Years	0.2069	10.2	10.3	17.4		10.3	6.9
4	Harmfulness to health	1	Points	0.0245	6.0	8.0	9.0		9.0	8.0
5	Aesthetics	1	Points	0.0350	5.0	6.0	8.0		9.0	6.0
6	Maintenance properties	1	Points	0.0342	6.4	7.4	8.2		5.8	6.6
7	Functionality	1	Points	0.0220	1.0	1.0	1.0		1.0	1.0
8	Comfortability	1	Points	0.0948	7.0	7.0	10.0		7.0	5.0
9	Sound insulation	1	Points	0.0215	7.0	8.0	10.0		7.0	7.0
10	Longevity	1	Years	0.0875	20.0	25.0	25.0		25.0	20.0

www.brita-in-pubs.com

Common Symposium of EU FP6 Eco-buildings Projects, Berlin, 22/23 November 2005 <Name of Presentation>

<Name of Author> <Organisation/Company> <Logo>

#### **Example -** The multiple criteria analysis of wall versions

Bringing Retrofit Innovation to Application in Public Buildings

THE KNOWLED GE BASE	Number of versions w	eight of versio	Priority of versions	Utility degree of versions, %	*	Negotiated cos of versions, Lt/sqm
OF THERMAL RENOVATION	1	0.078690	8	75.589	5.758	169.21
OFWALLS	2	0.080445	6	77.275	9.183	191.07
THE KNOWLED GE BASE OF THERMAL RENOVATION	3	0.068570	11	65.868	-2.096	352.46
OFROOF	4	0.079965	7	76.814	-1.150	187.82
THE KNOWLED GE BASE	5	0.090381	3	86.819	8.855	131.72
OF THERMAL RENOVATION OF BASEMENT	6	0.070195	10	67.429	-10.535	286.29
OF BASEIVENI	7	0.070448	9	67.672	-10.292	260.15
THE KNOWLED GE BASE OF THERMAL RENOVATION	8	0.104103	1	100.00	22.037	134.24
OF WINDOWS	9	0.086524	4	83.114	5.151	129.34
	10	0.082299	5	79.056	1.092	159.73
	11	0.098557	2	94.672	16.709	117.88



#### **Example -** Three best versions of solutions under consideration



## Computer-aided formation of versions of building refurbishment

Bringing Retrofit Innovation to Application in Public Buildings



BRITA in PuBs

1	The solutions	considered									1							
0			1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
1	Thermal	roof	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
2	renovation	walls	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	5	5
3	of	basement	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
4		windows	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
5		and volumet- nanges	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
6	the second second second second second second second	vement of ar- l appearance	6	6	6	6	3	3	3	3	5	5	5	5	2	2	2	2
7	The elimina	tion of physi- erioration	5	1	4	2	5	1	4	2	5	1	4	2	5	1	4	2

━ 米 # # # := | | = `= `= `

## Image: Second second

## Fragment of formation of complex building refurbishment versions

		The criteria considered	*	Measu-ring units	Signifi- cance			rical values of cr building refurbis				
						1	 65		129		193	
1	Cost		-1	Lt/sqm	0.3900	369	 214		230		352	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
2	Annual fuel economy		1	1000 Lt	0.0650	13.2	 13.5		13.8		13.1	
3	Tentative pay-back time		-1	Years	0.0662	17.2	 15.5		15.6		16.5	
4	Harmfulness to health		1	Points	0.0145	6.25	 6.5		6.5		7	- - -
5	Aesthetics		1	Points	0.0680	7.5	 6.3		6.3		7	
6	Maintenance properties		1	Points	0.0442	8.65	 7.9		7.9		8.15	
7	Longevity		1	Points	0.0875	20	 20		20		15	
8	Sound insulation		1	Points	0.0536	8	 7		7		8	
9	Comfortability		1	Points	0.0948	9	 7		8		8.5	
									www.bi	ita-in-p	ubs.co	n i
C ov	ommaniSympasium Name of Presentatic	a@i₅EU FP6 Eco-buildings Projec on>	ts, Berlin	22/æat₅Nov	ember₀200	95 1	 <name of<br=""><organis< td=""><td>Author&gt; ation/Com</td><td>ı pany&gt; <lo< td=""><td> go&gt;</td><td>1</td><td></td></lo<></td></organis<></name>	Author> ation/Com	ı pany> <lo< td=""><td> go&gt;</td><td>1</td><td></td></lo<>	 go>	1	
1	The elimination of physical de	terioration	1	Points	0.0522	4	 4		4		4	

#### **Example - Selection of the most efficient** alternatives of the building refurbishment

3 T	
THE KNOWLED GE BASE	-
OF THERMAL RENOVATION	
or man	L
THE KNOWLED GE BASE	
OF THERMAL RENOVATION	
THE KNOWLED GE BASE	
OF THERMAL RENOVATION OF BASEMENT	100
THE KNOWLED GE BASE	
OF THERMAL RENOVATION OF WINDOWS	
OF WINDOWS	
THREE BEST VERSIONS OF	
SOLUTIONS UNDER CONSIDERATION	
CONSTRUCTION	

Selection of the most efficient alternatives of the building refurbishment

Priority	Numeration	Total weight	Total utility degree
1	1	61.50	100.00
2	1729	61.42	99.87
3	3457	61.27	99.63
4	193	61.13	99.40
5	1921	61.05	99.27
6	3713	60.90	99.02
7	577	60.48	98.36
8	2305	60.41	98.23
9	65	60.33	98.10
10	4033	60.26	97.98
11	1793	60.25	97.97

### **Negotiation - Business Benefits**

- Development and evaluation of unlimited number solutions.
- Common data and knowledge bases of best practices.
- Negotiators can use intelligent sub-systems for resolve disputes, agree upon courses of action, bargain for individual or collective advantage, and attempt to craft outcomes which serve their mutual interests.
- Negotiations can be performed in different places and time.
- Reduction in meeting time as compared with equivalent conventional meetings.
- More open and fuller alternatives analysis based on the use of anonymous input where appropriate.
- e-Support for different optimization criteria.

The presented System for Building Refurbishment enables to form up to 100,000 of alternative versions. This system allows to determine weak and strong points of each building refurbishment project and its constituent parts and provide negotiation facilities. Calculations are made to find out by what degree one version is better than the other and reasons are disclosed why it is so. Landmarks are set for efficiency increase of project versions. All this is done argumentatively, basing oneself on indexes under investigation, as well as on their values and weights.

www.brita-in-pubs.com



### Practical application of System to the VGTU main university building

www.brita-in-pubs.com

Common Symposium of EU FP6 Eco-buildings Projects, Berlin, 22/23 November 2005 <Name of Presentation>

<Name of Author> <Organisation/Company> <Logo>

At the moment the windows in VGTU are replaced. Total cost of windows replacement is 106 501 €.

SF I

No an an an av







Common Symposium of EU FP6 Eco-buildings Projects, Berlin, 22/23 November 2005 <a href="https://www.eco.com">Name of Presentation</a>

<Name of Author> <Organisation/Company> <Logo>







## Alternative windows of 5 companies according to 16 indicators were analyzed:

- Price
- Mechanical strength and stiffness
- Reliability
- Thermal transmittance Up of profile
- Thermal transmittance Uw of double glazing unit
- Emission ability of low emissive glass coating ε
- Parameter *Rw* of air sound isolation
- Air leakage, when pressure difference *Dp* = 50 Pa
- Waterproof-ness
- Guarantee period
- Longevity
- Light transmission of double glazing unit
- Pay-back period
- Duration of works
- Quantity of windows with two opening positions (horizontal and vertical) (in percent of the area of all windows)
- Quantity of windows with closing infiltration air vent or the third opening position (in percent of the area of all windows

www.brita-in-pubs.com



At the moment the side doors of VGTU main building are changed









**Currently the** glass partitions off are changed in the corridors



www.brita-in-pubs.com

pany> <Logo>









vww.brita-in-pubs.com

Common Si <Name of F





**Total costs Current situation Energy saving** Area measure/investment [€] [m<sup>2</sup>] 105.350 Insulation of facades 2425 The project is under preparation. The works are planned to be done from 2005 06 01 to 2005 09 01 Windows 1000 144,800 Changed for 106501 € Roof 1306 17.020 The works are planned to be made from 2005 08 01 to 2005 09 01 Change of side 25 6.150 Changed entrance door Renovation of the thermal unit 3.650 The renovation is foreseen to take place from 2005 01 01 to 2005 02 01 185.030 Heating system Convector heaters in lobbies are being changed, the project under preparation. The works will be done from 2005 06 01 to 2005 10 01. Total € 462.000

Common Symposium of EU FP6 Eco-buildings Projects, Berlin, 22/23 November 2005

<Name of Author> <Organisation/Company> <Logo>

www.brita-in-pubs.com